UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,742	08/28/2006	Reinhard Lantzsch	CS8789BCS033090	8299
34469 7590 02/22/2008 BAYER CROPSCIENCE LP Patent Department			EXAMINER	
			STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE	
2 T .W. ALEXANDER DRIVE RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709		'709	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/576,742	LANTZSCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
• •	V IS SET TO EVOIDE AMONTH.	CLOD THIRTY (20) DAVE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 N</u>	ovember 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	2. 2.3 2222 25 p 132 1101.1303110					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>April 21, 2006</u> .	6) Other:	••				

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 18-26 are pending in the application.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I (claims 18-26 - drawn to a process for preparing fluoromethyl-substituted heterocycles of formula I wherein A is a pyrazole ring which is substituted by R⁴) in the reply filed on November 19, 2007 is acknowledged. Applicant has cancelled claims directed to the non-elected inventions and non-elected subject matter per the Amendment filed November 19, 2007.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Art Unit: 1626

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Examiner has considered the Information Disclosure Statement filed on April 21, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to

Art Unit: 1626

particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 25 and 26, the phrase "that it is carried" should be changed to "that is carried".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 18-20, 22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashi et al. {U.S. Pat. 6,417,361}.

Art Unit: 1626

Hayashi et al. disclose the process of Example 23 (column 22, lines 58-67), which is embraced by the instant claimed invention. Therefore, Hayashi et al. anticipate the instant claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \S 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashi et al. {U.S. Pat. 6,417,361}.

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP \$2141.01)

Art Unit: 1626

Applicant claims a process for preparing

fluoromethyl-substituted pyrazole compounds. Hayashi

et al. (see entire document; particularly columns 1 and

2, formulas 23 and 24 in column 6 and columns 15 and

16; and especially Example 23 in column 22, lines 58
67) teach a process of preparing fluorination agents

that is either the same as (see above 102 rejection) or

similar to the instant claimed process.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

The difference between some of the reactants of the prior art and the reactants of instantly claimed process is the use of analogous reactants.

Finding of prima facie obviousness--rational and motivation (MPEP \$2142-2413)

The use of analogous reactants in a known process is *prima facie* obvious. *In re Durden*, 226 USPQ 359 (1985). Once the general reaction has been shown to be old, the burden is on Applicants to present reasons or

Art Unit: 1626

authority for believing that a group on the starting material would take part in or affect the basic reaction and thus alter the nature of the product or the operability of the process. In looking at the instant claimed process as a whole, as stated in <u>In re</u> <u>Ochiai</u>, 37 USPQ 2d 1127 (1995), the claimed process would have been suggested to one skilled in the art.

One skilled in the art would thus be motivated to utilize the process of the prior to arrive at the instant claimed process with the expectation of producing fluorination agents. The instant claimed invention would have been suggested to one skilled in the art and therefore, the instant claimed invention would have been obvious to one skilled in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura L. Stockton whose telephone number is (571) 272-0710. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:15 am to 2:45 pm. If the

Application/Control Number: 10/576,742

Art Unit: 1626

examiner is out of the Office, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (571) 272-0699.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

The Official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

/Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1626
Work Group 1620
Technology Center 1600

Page 8

February 22, 2008